NAME OF REVIEWER: PLACE YOUR NAME HERE

FELLOW NOMINEE EVALUATORS FORMFELLOW NOMINEE EVALUATORS FORM

Nominee's Name	University/Institution/Company	Good Standing	Recommendation Letter 1	Recommendation Letter 2	Recommendation Letter 3	Primary Category	Comments / Secondary Category

Evaluation Rubric (NIH Scoring of 1-10, with 1 as high/best, 5 being average, and 10 as low/poor)

SCALE

1= Exceptional
2= Outstanding
3= Excellent
4= Very Good
5= Good
6= Satisfactory
7= Fair
8= Marginal
9= Poor
10= Extremely Poor

AREAS OF EVALUATION & SCORING

Achievement in Career

Nominee has impactful achievement in their primary career category
Scale 1 to 10:
1- many examples of achievement (evidence of teaching excellence, funding related to pedagogy, published/presented pedagogical research, etc.)
10- no examples of achievement

Significant and sustained involvement and contributions to field of BME

As described in CV; includes leadership
Scale 1 to 10
1-significant & sustained involvement in field of BME
10-no clear involvement in field of BME

Significant and sustained involvement and contributions to BMES

As described in CV and BMES Society Contributions question
Scale 1 to 10
1-significant & sustained involvement in BMES-linked activities
10-no clear involvement in BMES-linked activities

LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION

Letters clearly attest to the nominee's impactful achievement area (see above) and energetic leadership in biomedical engineering
Scale 1 to 10
1-many examples of impactful achievement in the selected area AND leadership in BME
10-no examples of impactful achievement in the selected area OR leadership in BME

CONTRIBUTIONS TO EXPANDING ACCESS TO BME EDUCATION AND OPPORTUNITIES

As described in CV and nomination form prompt responses
Scale 1 to 10
1-many examples of contributions
10-no examples of contributions