Reviewers Name: _____

Individual/Project/Organization Name	RUBRIC AREA 1 Work conforms to and supports the goals of the award *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 1 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 2 Work is innovating and different from existing programs *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 2 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 3 Clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 3 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 4 Made a measurable impact through their work in improving the field of BME *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 4 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 5 Plans to continue to conduct work	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 5 *(Please leave your comments here)*

GUIDELINES & GRADING CRITERIA

Evaluation Rubric (NIH Scoring of 1-9, with 1 as high/best, 5 being average, and 9 as low/poor)

1= Exceptional
2= Outstanding
3= Excellent
4= Very Good
5= Good
6= Satisfactory
7= Fair
8= Marginal
9= Poor

RUBRIC AREAS

AREA 1: Nomination Summary demonstrates nominee's work to conform to and support the goal of the requirements of the award

Scale 1 to 9:
1 - many examples of contributions to biomedical engineering.
9 - no examples of contributions to biomedical engineering.

AREA 2: Nomination Summary identifies nominee's work in biomedical engineering is innovative and different from existing programs.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – strong demonstration that work is innovative and different from existing programs.
9 – no demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

AREA 3: Nomination Summary clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – Clear description of community served and reach.
9 – No description of community served and reach.

AREA 4: Nomination Summary supports nominee has made a measurable impact through their work in improving the field of biomedical engineering.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – strong examples of measured impact.
9 – no examples of measured impact.

AREA 5: Nomination Summary includes nominee's plans to continue to conduct such work.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – Strong demonstration of plans to continue work toward this initiative.
9 – No demonstration of plans to continue work.