### ROBERT A. PRITZKER DISTINGUISHED LECTURE AWARD EVALUATION FORM

#### AREAS OF EVALUATION

1. **Significance of nominee's contribution to the field.**
   - Examples: Opened up a whole new field or contributed significantly to the field. Use nomination package including letters to provide evidence. The nominee may have achieved excellence in biomedical engineering by contributions within the setting of the university, industry, medical center, business, or government.

2. **Impact on society.**
   - The applicant has a high level of scholarship and originality in an area of biomedical engineering. The applicant may have achieved excellence in biomedical engineering by contributions within the setting of the university, industry, medical center, business, or government.
   - Examples: Products that have improved patients' lives. The nominee may have achieved excellence in biomedical engineering by contributions within the setting of the university, industry, medical center, business, or government.

3. **Leadership in the profession going beyond service.**
   - The applicant has strong letters of support from leaders in biomedical engineering.
   - (This is evidence for 1 and 2)

#### SCORE-DRIVING FACTORS

- **Overall score:** Similar to NIH; please give overall score for nominee (Please use the entire 1-10 scale)
  - Score-driving factors on overall score.

- **Significance of nominee's contribution to the field.**
  - Score-driving factors for nominee's contribution to the field (Please leave your comments here)

- **Impact on society.**
  - Score-driving factors for nominee's impact on society (Please leave your comments here)

- **Leadership in the profession.**
  - Score-driving factors for nominee's leadership in the profession (Please leave your comments here)

#### SCALE

1: Exceptional  
2: Outstanding  
3: Excellent  
4: Very Good  
5: Good  
6: Satisfactory  
7: Fair  
8: Marginal  
9: Poor  
10: Extremely Poor

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominee's Name</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Number Type</th>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Support Reference 1</th>
<th>Support Reference 2</th>
<th>Support Reference 3</th>
<th>Support Reference 4</th>
<th>Support Reference 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**GUIDELINES & GRADING CRITERIA**

**Evaluation Rubric (NIH Scoring of 1-10, with 1 as high/best, 5 being average, and 10 as low/poor)**

**AREAS OF EVALUATION**